

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/040,268	10/30/2001	Randy Marchetti	1570-005	9580	
7.	590 05/19/2003				
Sullivan Law Group			EXAMINER		
Suite 120 5060 North 40t			CHOI, JACOB Y		
Phoenix, AZ 8	35018		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2875		
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)			
1.	10/04	40,268	MARCHETTI, RANDY			
Office Action Summary		niner	Art Unit			
	Jacob	Y Choi	2875			
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears or	n the cover sheet v	rith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provisi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this could be used to the second of the second for reply specified above, the maximum of the second for reply is specified above, the maximum of the second for reply in the second for reply received by the Office later than three month of the second for the second for the second for reply received by the Office later than three month of the second for the second for the second for the second form of the second for the second form of th	JNICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In its permunication. y (30) days, a reply within the in statutory period will apply a pely will, by statute, cause the has after the mailing date of the state of th	no event, however, may a e statutory minimum of th and will expire SIX (6) MC e application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ı.		
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>25 March 2</u>	<u> 2003</u> .				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This actio	n is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condit closed in accordance with the properties of Claims	ion for allowance ex actice under <i>Ex part</i>	ccept for formal mate te Quayle, 1935 C	etters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	S		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in th	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is	s/are withdrawn from	n consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to res	triction and/or election	on requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 October</u>	<u>12001</u> is/are: a)⊡ a	ccepted or b)⊠ obj	ected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction f	iled on is: a)[☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are	•					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a cla	im for foreign priority	y under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None o	f:					
1. Certified copies of the priori	ty documents have	been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 Copies of the certified copie application from the Intention See the attached detailed Office ac 	ernational Bureau (P	PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage received.			
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a clain	n for domestic priorit	ty under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application	on).		
 a) The translation of the foreign 15) Acknowledgment is made of a clair 	• • •	• •				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) 	(PTO-948)) Paper No(s) <u>5</u> .		Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
US Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Sun	mmary	Part of Paper No. 9			

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: 38c & 38d. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "34a" has been used to designate both opening and seats in Figure 4. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "34" and "36" have both been used to designate opening (reference character number 34 & 36 designate openings in reversed manner). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2875

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bertling et al. (USPN 5,353,203).

Regarding claim 1, Bertling et al. discloses a reflector (12, 20) comprising a first (20) and second (12) reflective concave surface regions adjacent to one another (column 3, lines 10-30), the first reflective (20) concave surface region comprising a first curvature for directing light emanating from a first focal point adjacent to but spaced apart from the first reflective concave surface region into a near field beam (low beam headlight; column 4, lines 40-65), and the second (12) reflective concave surface region comprising a second curvature for directing light emanating from a second focal point adjacent to but spaced apart from the second reflective concave surface region into a far field beam (column 4 & 5, lines 65-35), a first light source positioned substantially at the first focal point (at the light source 22), the first light source comprising a high intensity discharge light source (column 3, lines 10-20), a second light source positioned substantially at the second focal point (at the light source 14), the second light source comprising a halogen light source (column 2, lines 55-65), and a light-transmissive cover fitted over the reflector (16).

Regarding claim 2, Bertling et al. discloses the reflector is substantially rounded.

Art Unit: 2875

Regarding claim 3, Bertling et al. discloses the reflector is substantially circular.

Regarding claim 4, Bertling et al. discloses the first and the second reflective concave surface regions are integral with one another.

Regarding claim 5, Bertling et al. discloses the reflector is a unitary piece.

Regarding claim 6, Bertling et al. discloses the first concave reflective surface region is parabolic and has a first optical axis passing through the first focal point, and further wherein the second concave reflective surface region is parabolic and has a second optical axis passing through the second focal point.

Regarding claim 7, Bertling et al. discloses the second curvature is different than the first curvature (figure 2).

Regarding claim 8, Bertling et al. discloses the high intensity discharge light source comprises a xenon light source (gas discharge lamps includes xenon light source).

Regarding claim 9, Bertling et al. discloses a reflector comprising first and second reflective concave surface regions adjacent to one another, the first reflective concave surface region comprising a first curvature for directing light emanating from a first focal point adjacent to but spaced apart from the first reflective concave surface region into a near filed beam (low beam headlight; column 4, lines 40-65), the first reflective concave surface region having a first peripheral edge (outer ring of the reflector 20) with opposite ends and a first internal edge (discontinuity) extending between the opposite ends of the first peripheral edge, the second reflective concave surface region comprising a second curvature for directing light emanating from a

Art Unit: 2875

second focal point adjacent to but spaced apart from the second reflective concave surface region into a far field beam (column 4 & 5, lines 65-35), the second reflective concave surface region having a second peripheral edge (outer ring of the reflector 12) with opposite ends and a second internal edge (discontinuity) extending between the opposite ends of the second peripheral edge, the respective opposite ends of the first and second peripheral edges interfacing one another (connection point/line of outer shape of the reflector 12 & 20 and discontinuity) and the first and second internal edges interfacing one another (discontinuity between the upper reflector portion 12 and the lower reflector portion20), a first light source positioned substantially at the first focal point, the first light source comprising a high intensity discharge light source, a second light source positioned substantially at the second light source comprising a halogen light source, and a light-transmissive cover fitted over the reflector.

Regarding claim 10, Bertling et al. discloses the first and second peripheral edges are arcuate (figure 2).

Regarding claim 11, Bertling et al. discloses the first and second peripheral edges define a substantially circular outer perimeter of the reflector (figure 2).

Regarding claim 12, Bertling et al. discloses the first and second reflective concave surface regions are integral with one another (figure 1 & 2).

Regarding claim 13, Bertling et al. discloses the reflector is a unitary piece.

Regarding claim 14, Bertling et al. discloses the first and second internal edges interface and adjoin one another to define a ridge (21).

Art Unit: 2875

Regarding claim 15, Bertling et al. discloses the first concave reflective surface region is parabolic and has a first optical axis passing through the first focal point, and further wherein the second concave reflective surface region is parabolic and has a second optical axis passing through the second focal point.

Regarding claim 16, Bertling et al. discloses the second curvature differs from the first curvature.

Regarding claim 17, Bertling et al. discloses the high intensity discharge light source comprises a xenon light source.

Regarding claim 18, Bertling et al. discloses a first reflective concave surface region comprising a first curvature for directing light emanating from a first focal point adjacent to but spaced apart from the first reflective concave surface region into a near field beam, the first reflective concave surface region having a first arcuate peripheral edge with opposite ends and a first internal edge extending between the opposite ends of the first arcuate peripheral edge, a second reflective concave surface region adjacent the first reflective concave surface region and comprising a second curvature for directing light emanating from a second focal point adjacent to but spaced apart from the second reflective concave surface region into a far field beam, the second reflective concave surface region having a second arcuate peripheral edge with opposite ends and a second internal edge extending between the opposite ends of the second arcuate peripheral edge, the respective opposite ends of the first and second peripheral edges interfacing one another to define a substantially circular outer perimeter of the reflector and the first and second internal edges interfacing one another.

Art Unit: 2875

Regarding claim 19, Bertling et al. discloses the first and second reflective concave surface regions are integral with one another.

Regarding claim 20, Bertling et al. discloses the reflector is a unitary piece.

Regarding claim 21, Bertling et al. discloses the first concave reflective surface region is parabolic and has a first optical axis passing through the first focal point, and further wherein the second concave reflective surface region is parabolic and has a second optical axis passing through the second focal point.

Regarding claim 22, Bertling et al. discloses the second curvature is different from the first curvature.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Y Choi whose telephone number is (703) 308-4792. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (10:00-7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (703) 305-4939. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-7724.

Art Unit: 2875

Page 8

JC May 7, 2003

Sandra O'Shea
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800